

**Remarks/Arguments:**

Claims 9, 11, 16-23, 27 and 29-34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In particular, the Official Action indicated that certain language which appeared in claim 9 was unclear. Claim 9 has been cancelled. Other claims in this application, however, have been amended to include the features which previously appeared in claim 9. When those features were added to those claims, the language was revised so that the text which was previously identified as being unclear is now clear. For example, the language "included in said pixel signal" was indicated as being unclear. That language, however, was not included in the claims that are currently amended. Furthermore, the language "and said target color" was found to be unclear. That issue has also been addressed by not including the "other than pixel information" language which previously appeared in claim 9. Thus, Applicants' representative has addressed the issues raised by the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claims 9, 10 and 25-29 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by JA 06-078320. The rejection is rendered moot by the cancellation of those claims.

Claims 30-34 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over JA 06-078320. Again, the rejection is rendered moot by the cancellation of those claims.

Claims 12-15 and 24 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 11 and 16-23 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten into independent form and if amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In response, claims 11, 12, 24 and 35 have been rewritten into independent form. Allowance of those claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 35-46 are newly added. Support for those claims is set forth in the originally filed application. Support for all amendments is set forth in the "Support for Amendments" enclosure to this Amendment. No new matter has been added.

Application No.: 10/527,661  
Amendment Dated: November 12, 2008  
Reply to Office Action of: July 28, 2008

MTS-3492US

In view of the amendments set forth above, the above-identified application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Lawrence E. Ashery, Reg. No. 34,515  
Attorney for Applicants

LEA/DK/fp

Attachment: Support for Amendments

Dated: November 12, 2008

P.O. Box 980  
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980  
(610) 407-0700

FP\_316855

Application No.: 10/527,661  
Amendment Dated: November 12, 2008  
Reply to Office Action of: July 28, 2008

MTS-3492US

**SUPPORT FOR AMENDMENTS**

1. Cancelled
2. Cancelled
3. Cancelled
4. Cancelled
5. Cancelled
6. Cancelled
7. Cancelled
8. Cancelled
9. Cancelled
10. Cancelled
11. Claims 9 and 11
12. Claims 10 and 12
13. --
14. --
15. --
16. --
17. --
18. --
19. --
20. --
21. --
22. --
23. --
24. Claims 9 and 24
25. Cancelled
26. Cancelled
27. Cancelled
28. Cancelled
29. Cancelled
30. Cancelled
31. Cancelled
32. Cancelled
33. Cancelled
34. Cancelled
35. Claims 10 and 24
36. Page 62, line 19, page 63 line 2, page 74, line 20, page 75, line 4, page 77, lines 5-12
37. Page 77, lines 16-24
38. Page 77, lines 16-24
39. Page 78, lines 11-19
40. Page 78, lines 11-19
41. Page 78, lines 6-10
42. Page 63, lines 24 - Page 64, line 13
43. Page 63, line 24 - Page 64, line 13
44. Page 63, lines 16-23
45. Page 66, lines 1-20
46. Page 77, lines 1-15